

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,827	01/14/2004	Yoshio Miyamoto	042018	2379
38834	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			TRIEU, VAN THANH	
SUITE 700	1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036	2636		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/756,827	MIYAMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	Van T. Trieu	2636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sizely received by the Office later than three months after the right part of the property of the propert	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. [HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	14 January 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE or No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2904</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/756,827

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Partio et al** [US 6,021,150].

Regarding claim 1, the claimed an electronic digital pressure switch for detecting a gas pressure and generating an output, comprising: a housing that has a ventilating portion allowing a gas to pass there through (the discharge chamber containing laser gas and electronic that regulate a function of the laser housed within a baffle enclosure by a gas sensor 330, a temperature sensor 600, pressure sensitive switch and indicator to monitor pressure and shut-off the laser. The baffle enclosure includes an upper and lower solid panels 210, which have holes or slots for allowing air flows through much of the enclosure for ventilating, removing or sweeping out biologically-incompatible gases that may leak within the interior of the enclosure, see Figs. 2 and 6, col. 4, lines 42-52, col. 5, lines 62-67, col. 6, lines 16-28 and 44-50, col. 7, lines 24-27, col. 8, lines 38-56, col. 10, lines 49-55 and col. 11, lines 29-32).

Regarding claim 3, the claimed ventilation portions are at both upper and lower portions of the housing (the holes or slots in both upper and lower panels 210, see Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 4, the contact output that turns ON/OFF according to detected pressure and that allows ON and OFF values to be set (the control electronic 150 allows to set of pressure and flow rate desired values, and to turn ON/OFF the layer according to the detected pressure level, see Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 9-15, col. 7, lines 11-27, col. 8, lines 48-56 and col. 10, lines 14-55); and the display means, which reads upon the control electronic 150 to provide indicating of detected temperature, pressure, flow volume, on/off valve and ON/OFF laser conditions, see Fig. 1, col. 7, lines 11-27 and col. 10, lines 35-55.; and the analog output that changes its output values according to detected pressure (the temperature and/or pressure sensor outputs analog signals, see col. 7, lines 24-67 and col. 9, lines 48-50).

Regarding claim 5, the claimed plurality of the contact outputs, which read upon the control electronic 150 comprising more than one contact outputs for controlling and indicating the status of on/off valve, on/off laser and switches, see Figs. 1, 6 and 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Partio et al** [US 6,021,150] in view of **Rocci, Jr. et al** [US 5,676,129].

Regarding claim 6, **Partio et al** fails to disclose delay time can be set for the contact output or the contact outputs. However, **Partio et al** teaches that the control electronic 150 includes a microprocessor for manually and/or automatically controlling and indicating statuses/conditions of the detected temperature, pressure, flow volume, on/off valve and ON/OFF laser conditions, see Fig. 1, col. 6, lines 5-15, col. 7, lines 11-27, col. 10, lines 35-55 and col. 11, lines 29-51. **Rocci, Jr. et al** suggests that a microprocessor 14 is programmed to display the remaining doses, the elapse time and a predetermined delay time period for providing reliability detect a pressure pulse by a miniature pressure sensor 12, ON/OFF values and displaying on a display 15, see Figs. 1-8, col. 5, lines 26-67 and col. 6, lines 1-67. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made substitute the microprocessor programmable

Art Unit: 2636

functions of **Rocci Jr., et al** for the microprocessor controller of **Partio et al** because the microprocessor is a digital electronic device having memories, which can be programmed to execute a different operation functions such as delaying time for providing a higher detecting pressure outputs.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Watson et al discloses a laser discharging chamber that includes ventilating panels for weeping out of undesired gases within the chamber according to the pressure switch. [US 5,748,656]

Zheng et al discloses a modular gas control device for use with a compressed gas cylinder comprising a pressure sensor and a ventilating system within a gas cabinet or housing for ventilate of the leakage gases. [US 6,314,986]

Campell discloses a method of sensing a ventilation failure measures a ventilation cycle and if the pressure is not maintained throughout the whole of an inspiration period above the setting for the low datum point an alarm is signaled. [US 5,640,149 Sagimiya discloses switch device for pressure switch displays data about high level of setting values used to turn switch ON and OFF of switch. [JP 20011168701A]

4. Claims 7 and 8 are allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,827 Page 6

Art Unit: 2636

5. Claims 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

examiner should be directed to primary examiner Van Trieu whose telephone number

is (571) 272-2972. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:00 AM to

3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981.

Van Trieu

Primary Examiner

Date: 5/26/05